

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,397	04/19/2004	James Durham	H311030USCOM	9914
	7590 01/29/200 AFLEUR HENDERSO	•	EXAMINER	
ONE MAIN ST	REET WEST	LARSON, JUSTIN MATTHEW		
HAMILTON, O CANADA	JN L8P 4Z3	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			3782	
		•		
	·		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
,	·		01/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/826,397	DURHAM, JAMES			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Justin M. Larson	3782			

		7	
·	Justin M. Larson	3782	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ado	lress
THE REPLY FILED 08 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
time periods:			
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailin b) \bigsim The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	•	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	• •	136(a) and the appropria	ite extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	hs of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		•	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	-		the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		•
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2 and 3</u> .	·		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	thefore and the data of filling a N		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	of before or on the date of filing a N Id sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	of pe entered s necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		•
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		mans Pu	
	N/ SUPER	MMWU ATHAN J. NEWHOU VISORY PATENT EX	SE (AMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The open front end of the U-shaped horizontal base of the holder facing forwardly in the direction in which the user walks when walking forwardly is a new issue. Previously, the term "forwardly" was broad enough to mean basically any direction in which the user walked. The art previously relied upon satisfied the claimed structure when considering "forwardly" in the broad sense. The newly introduced limitations of the term "forwardly" require further search of the prior art and further consideration.